

RAB 95-001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) Art Unit: 2303
Belgard, R.) Examiner: Nguyen, T.
Serial No.: 08/458,479)
Filed: 6/2/95)
For: Address Translation Method and Mechanism Using Physical Address Information Including During A Segmentation Process))))
	1

PETITION FEE TRANSMITTAL

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant was informed today by the Examiner for the above application that a Petition for Consideration of Information Disclosure Statement, dated September 22, 1997, and believed to have been mailed on that date, nevertheless could not be found in the PTO files. To remedy this situation, and because of the short time frame within which the issues in the Petition must be resolved, the undersigned has already faxed a copy of the same directly to the Examiner for consideration. The present letter is also being faxed and mailed to your office to ensure timely delivery and consideration.

On the assumption that the petition fee is also misplaced, Applicant hereby encloses a check for \$130 to cover such expense.

12/01/1997 GPAYKE

00000054 08458479 130.00

Date: November 18, 1997

Respectfully submitted,

Law+

J. Nicholas Gross, Attorney, Reg. No. 34,175

I hereby certify that the foregoing is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, this 18th of November, 1997.

16 8K/3-20-

RAB 95-001

16

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) Art Unit: 2303
Belgard, R.)
.) Examiner: Nguyen, T.
Serial No.: 08/458,479) ;
)
Filed: 6/2/95)
)
For: Address Translation Method and)
Mechanism Using Physical Address)
Information Including During A)
Segmentation Process)

Petition for Consideration of Information Disclosure Statement (37 CFR 1.97 [D])

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The Examiner's most recent Office Action dated September 2, 1997 indicates that the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted by the Applicant on August 7, 1997 had been received but not considered because a petition, fee, and appropriate certification had not been provided at that time. Accordingly, in response to the Examiner's comments, Appetitions pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.97 [d] and 1.97[e] for the consideration of the submitted on August 7, 1997.

The issue fee for this case has NOT been paid, but is due for payment on 12/12/97. As the time for paying the issue fee is rapidly approaching, timely consideration of the present petition is also requested.

BASIS FOR PETITION - CERTIFICATION UNDER 1.97(E)

First, as required by 1.97[d] (1) and 1.97[e](2), the undersigned hereby certifies that to the best of his knowledge after conducting a reasonable inquiry, none of the references cited in the aforementioned IDS were known to any individual designated under 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the IDS.

Accordingly, Applicant has met the requirements of 1.97 [d](1), and thereby petitions under 1.97[d](2) for consideration of the IDS and accompanying references. Applicant submits that the application is entitled to and should receive the full benefit of the Examiner's review in light of all known potentially relevant references, and on this basis requests such review.

Nevertheless, for the reasons set out in detail in Applicant's August 7 amendment discussing these newly discovered references, Applicant further submits that the allowed claims distinguish over such references as well. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the issuance of a Supplemental Notice of Allowance that considers the aforementioned references.

PETITION FEES

Respectfully submitted.


Pursuant to 1.97[d](3), the petition fee 37 CFR 1.17(i), \$130 has been paid with the enclosed check.

September 22, 1997

J. Nicholas Gross, Attorney, Reg. No. 34,175

Law+

I hereby certify that the foregoing is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, this 22^{nd} day of September 1997.



To: Examiner Than Nguyen

Date: Tuesday, November 18, 1997

Re: 08/458/479, applicant Richard A. Belgard

Further according to our telephone conversation today, attached is a copy of the Rule 1.97 Petition, dated September 22, 1997, that apparently is missing in action. I will mail in a check today to handle the petition fee.

Should you have any questions please feel free to call me at 408-342-1862.

3 pages total

Best regards,

J. Nicholas Gross

3266 RICHARD A BELGARD Crize Cash Management Account 15571 PEACH HILL RD SARATOGA, CA 95070-6472 19 97 25-80-440 COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
260047856 011 2110 PAY TO THE 1991 HM ONE HUNDRED THIRTY EXACTLY Merrill Lynch 100357 BOW O'C COLUMN THE #D44000804# 3011966270#

 Via facsimile only: 703-308-5356

To: Examiner Than Nguyen

Date: Monday, September 22, 1997

Re: 08/458/479, applicant Richard A. Belgard

Further according to our telephone conversation on Friday, September 19, attached is a courtesy copy of the Rule 1.97 Petition we discussed and which is being mailed today out of our office. Should you have any questions please feel free to call me at 408-342-1862.

Best regards,

J. Nicholas Gross

Via facsimile only: 703-308-6606

To: Examiner Than Nguyen

Date: Tuesday, November 18, 1997

Re: 08/458/479, applicant Richard A. Belgard

Further according to our telephone conversation today, attached is a copy of the Rule 1.97 Petition, dated September 22, 1997, that apparently is missing in action. I will mail in a check today to handle the petition fee.

Should you have any questions please feel free to call me at 408-342-1862.

Best regards,

J. Nicholas Gross

3 pages total

RAB 95-001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Belgard, R.)	Art Unit: 2303
Serial No.: 08/458,479)	Examiner: Nguyen, T.
Filed: 6/2/95)	
For: Address Translation Method and Mechanism Using Physical Address Information Including During A Segmentation Process))))	

PETITION FEE TRANSMITTAL

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant was informed today by the Examiner for the above application that a Petition for Consideration of Information Disclosure Statement, dated September 22, 1997, and believed to have been mailed on that date, nevertheless could not be found in the PTO files. To remedy this situation, and because of the short time frame within which the issues in the Petition must be resolved, the undersigned has already faxed a copy of the same directly to the Examiner for consideration. The present letter is also being faxed and mailed to your office to ensure timely delivery and consideration.

On the assumption that the petition fee is also misplaced, Applicant hereby encloses a check for \$130 to cover such expense.

Respectfully submitted,

Law+

Date: November 18, 1997

I. Nicholas Gross, Attorney, Reg. No. 34,175

I hereby certify that the foregoing is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, this 18th of November, 1997.

instation Method and Mechanism Using Physical Address Information Including During A S/N: 08/458,479 File No.: RAB 95-001 Date Mailed:11/18/97 Title: Address Mailed by: Anthony Gross t., mentation Process

Client Name: Rich Belgard.

Drawings; # of Sheets_ The Following was received in the Patent & Trademark Office on the date

stamped hereon:

Application for Patent, Including: Amendment

Declaration, Affidavit or Oath Pages Spec.; No. of Claims

Advance Soft Copy Order Formal Informal Issue Fee Transmittal

Recordation Petition re: Power of Attorney

Ck. No. 1683 for \$ 130

Correction of Filing Receipt, w/marked copy

Other(s): Petition Fee Transmittal Letter of Transmittal